United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) 5.45 M L 4000 DN
VICTOR RIVERA-VELASQUEZ) Case No. 5:15-MJ-1966-RN
Defendant)
DETEN'	TION ORDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing u require that the defendant be detained pending	nder the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offens	se described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state of	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in for which the prison term is 10 y	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) years or more.
☐ an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum	m prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
•	fendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses $F(1)(1)(A)$ -(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
\Box any felony that is not a crime of	f violence but involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a fi	irearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18	8 U.S.C. § 2250
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) federal, state release or local offense	was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has Θ	elapsed since the date of conviction the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described	d in finding (1).
	h a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety y. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe the	hat the defendant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison ten	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
B b F	cing evidence
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody gappeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On f United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility eliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.
Date: \	September 28, 2015 **Lobert Tlumless T.** **Judge's signature**

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title